arXiv:1405.1262v1 [math.DS] 6 May 2014

Differentiability of Lyaupnov Exponents

Thiago F. Ferraiol* Luiz A. B. San Martin‡

May 7, 2014

Abstract

We prove differentiability of certain linear combinations of the Lyapunov spectra of a flow on a principal bundle of a semi-simple Lie group. The specific linear combinations that yield differentiability are determined by the finest Morse decomposition on the flag bundles. Differentiability is taken with respect to a differentiable structure on the gauge group, which is a Banach-Lie group.

AMS 2010 subject classification: 37H15, 22E46, 37B55.
Key words and phrases: Semi-simple Lie groups, Lyapunov exponents, Multiplicative Ergodic Theorem, Flag Manifolds, Differentiability.

1 Introduction

In this paper we prove differentiability of certain linear combinations of the Lyapunov spectra of a continuous flow on a continuous principal bundle. Our result is inspired on the theorem of Ruelle [16] that proves differentiability of the top Lyapunov exponent for linear cocycles on vector bundles under the assumption that the cocycle preserves a cone field.

*Address: Universidade Estadual de Maringá, Departamento de Matemática. Av. Colombo, 5790, Zona 7. 87020-900 Maringá, Paraná, Brasil. e-mail: tfferraiol@uem.br

†Supported by CNPq grant nº 304982/2013-0 and FAPESP grant nº 2012/17946-1.

‡Address: Imecc - Unicamp, Departamento de Matemática. Rua Sérgio Buarque de Holanda, 651, Cidade Universitária Zeferino Vaz. 13083-859 Campinas São Paulo, Brasil. e-mail: smartin@ime.unicamp.br

Here we take cocycles with values on noncompact semi-simple Lie groups and use the structure of the Morse decompositions on the respective flag bundles to find linear combinations of the Lyapunov spectra that are differentiable under perturbations of the generators of the cocycles. (Actually we use the framework of principal bundles and perturb the flows by elements of the gauge group which is a Banach-Lie group.)

As to the set up start with a continuous principal bundle $\pi : Q \to X$ with compact Hausdorff base space X and noncompact semi-simple Lie group G that acts on Q on the right. An automorphism ϕ of Q is a homeomorphism $\phi : Q \to Q$ which is right invariant $\phi(q \cdot g) = \phi(q) \cdot g$, $q \in Q$, $g \in G$, and induces a homeomorphism $\tau : X \to X$ with $\pi \circ \phi = \tau \circ \pi$. We consider the flow ϕ^n, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, generated by ϕ and the corresponding flow τ^n on X. We assume that there is a probability measure ν on X, which is invariant by τ and has support $\mathrm{supp}\nu = X$.

The group G admits an Iwasawa decomposition $G = KAN$ and a polar decomposition $G = K(\mathrm{cl}A^+)K$ of G, with $A^+ \subset A$, that are carried over to Q (see [1] and [22] for notation and details). In both decompositions the A-components measure the exponential growth ratio of the iterations $\phi^n(q)$, $q \in Q$, for an automorphism ϕ of Q.

The logarithm of the A-component in the Iwasawa decomposition can be factored to yield an additive cocycle $\mathsf{a}^\phi(n, \xi) \in \mathfrak{a}$ over the flow on the associated maximal flag bundle $\mathbb{E} = Q \times_G \mathbb{F}$ with values in the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{a}$ of the abelian group A. It gives rise to the $\mathfrak{a}$-Lyapunov exponents

$$\lambda(\xi) = \lim \frac{1}{n} \mathsf{a}^\phi(n, \xi) \in \mathfrak{a} \qquad \xi \in \mathbb{E},$$

whose almost surely existence are ensured by the Multiplicative Ergodic Theorem (see [1] for an approach in this context of semi-simple groups).

On the other hand the projection onto $\mathrm{cl}A^+$-component against the polar decomposition yields ultimately a subadditive cocycle $\omega \circ \mathsf{a}^+_\phi(n, x) \in \mathrm{cl}\mathfrak{a}^+ \subset \mathfrak{a}$ over the flow on the base space X, after composing with a dominant weight ω. The subadditive ergodic theorem applyied to the several weights ω gives rise to the polar exponent

$$H^+_\phi(x) = \lim \frac{1}{n} \mathsf{a}^+_\phi(n, x) \in \mathrm{cl}\mathfrak{a}^+$$

defined for ν-almost all $x \in X$.

The vector exponents $\lambda(\xi)$, $\xi \in \mathbb{E}$, and $H_\phi^+(x)$, $x \in X$, are related by the fact that if $\lambda(\xi)$ is defined for some ξ in the fibre over x then it is defined for every ξ in this fiber and the possible values are $\lambda(\xi) = wH_\phi^+(x)$ with w running through the Weyl group of G (see [1]).

We call $H_\phi^+(x)$ the $\mathfrak{a}$-Lyapunov spectrum of ϕ with respect to ν.

Our purpose in this paper is to prove that for suitable $\omega \in \mathfrak{a}^*$ the mean Lyapunov spectra

$$\gamma\phi \in \mathcal{G}\phi \mapsto \omega \int H_{\gamma\phi}^+(x)\,\nu(dx) \in \mathbb{R} \tag{1}$$

depends smoothly of γ (at $\gamma = \mathrm{id}$) when γ runs through the gauge group $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{G}(Q)$ of Q.

The linear maps $\omega \in \mathfrak{a}^*$ that enter in (1) are given by dynamical properties of ϕ. To simplify notation we describe them in a trivial bundle $Q = X \times G$. The flow ϕ^n on $X \times G$ induces flows on the flag bundles $\mathbb{E}_\Theta = X \times \mathbb{F}_\Theta$ where $\mathbb{F}_\Theta$ is a flag manifold of G. The Morse decompositions in such flag bundles were studied in [4] and [13] (see also Selgrade [23] for a different approach specific to projective bundles and Colonius-Kliemann for bundles of flags of subspaces of $\mathbb{R}^d$). In [22] the Morse decompositions are related to spectra.

By the results of [4] and [13] in any flag bundle there exists a finest Morse decomposition. It is given algebraically as follows: For $H \in \mathrm{cl}\mathfrak{a}^+$, write $h = \exp H$ and $\mathbb{A}(H) = \{ghg^{-1} : g \in G\}$. Then there exists $H_{\mathrm{Mo}}(\phi) \in \mathrm{cl}\mathfrak{a}^+$ and a continuous map $\chi_\phi : X \to \mathbb{A}(H_{\mathrm{Mo}}(\phi))$ such that in any flag bundle $X \times \mathbb{F}_\Theta$ the Morse decomposition is given fiberwise as

$$\bigcup_{x \in X} \{x\} \times \mathrm{fix}_\Theta(\chi_\phi(x))$$

where $\mathrm{fix}_\Theta(\chi_\phi(x))$ is the set of fixed points of $\chi_\phi(x)$ in the flag manifold $\mathbb{F}_\Theta$ (see [13], Theorem 7.5). The element $H_{\mathrm{Mo}}(\phi) \in \mathrm{cl}\mathfrak{a}^+$ itself defines a flag manifold which depends only on the set

$$\Theta_{\mathrm{Mo}}(\phi) = \{\alpha \in \Sigma : \alpha(H_{\mathrm{Mo}}(\phi)) = 0\},$$

where Σ is the set of simple roots. We call $\mathbb{F}_{\Theta_{\mathrm{Mo}}(\phi)}$ (or just $\Theta_{\mathrm{Mo}}(\phi)$) the flag type of ϕ.

Then the linear maps $\omega \in \mathfrak{a}^*$ such that (1) is smooth are obtained from the flag type $\Theta_{\mathrm{Mo}}(\phi)$ as follows: Write the set of simple roots as

$$\Sigma = \{\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_l\}.$$

The corresponding set of fundamental weights $\Omega = \{\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_l\}$ is defined dually by

$$\frac{2\langle \omega_i, \alpha_j \rangle}{\langle \alpha_j, \alpha_j \rangle} = \delta_{ij}.$$

Let $\Omega_{\Theta_{\mathrm{Mo}}(\phi)} \subset \Omega$ be the subset of those ω_i having the same index i of a $\alpha_i \in \Theta_{\mathrm{Mo}}(\phi)$.

Then our main result Theorem 6.1 says that (1) is differentiable if ω is a linear combination of $\Omega \setminus \Omega_{\Theta_{\mathrm{Mo}}(\phi)}$.

The example of $G = \mathrm{Sl}(d, \mathbb{R})$ is enlightening. In this case $H_{\mathrm{Mo}}(\phi)$ is a diagonal matrix

$$H_{\mathrm{Mo}}(\phi) = \mathrm{diag}\{a_1 \geq a_2 \geq \cdots \geq a_d\}$$

and the flag type $\Theta_{\mathrm{Mo}}(\phi)$ is read off from the multiplicites of the eigenvalues of $H_{\mathrm{Mo}}(\phi)$, since the set of simple roots is

$$\Sigma = \{\lambda_1 - \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_{d-1} - \lambda_d\}$$

where $\lambda_i(H)$ is the i-th entry of the diagonal matrix H. The corresponding fundamental weights are $\omega_i = \lambda_1 + \cdots + \lambda_i$, $1 \leq i \leq d-1$. It follows that (1) is differentiable if ω is a linear combination of the sums $\omega_i = \lambda_1 + \cdots + \lambda_i$ such that $a_i > a_{i+1}$.

As in [16] our method of proof exploits the Implicit Function Theorem for Banach manifolds. From the Morse decompositions we get a section σ of the specific flag bundle $\mathbb{E}_{\Theta_{\mathrm{Mo}}(\phi)}$ defined by the flag type of ϕ. This section is the attractor Morse component of ϕ in $\mathbb{E}_{\Theta_{\mathrm{Mo}}(\phi)}$. The part of the spetrum given by $\omega \in \mathfrak{a}^*$ can be writen as the integral of $\omega \circ \mathsf{a}^{\phi}(1, \xi)$ with respect to the measure $\mu = \sigma_* \nu$ on $\mathbb{E}_{\Theta_{\mathrm{Mo}}(\phi)}$. With the aid of the Implicit Function Theorem we can parametrize differentiably invariant measures $\mu_\gamma = (\sigma_\gamma)_* \nu$ as well as integrands $\omega \circ \mathsf{a}^{\gamma\phi}(1, \xi)$ for $\gamma \in \mathcal{G}$ close to the identity and hence get smoothness of the spectra since they are integrals with respect to the invariant measures on $\mathbb{E}_{\Theta_{\mathrm{Mo}}(\phi)}$.

As applications of our main result we consider in Section 8 cocycles with values in semigroups whose flag types are known. It can be proved that the flag type $\Theta_{\mathrm{Mo}}(\phi)$ of the flow is contained in the flag type of the semigroup (see Proposition 8.2), so that the latter furnishes some ω for which differentiability holds. An example of such semigroup is the one considered in [16], namely the semigroup $S_W \subset \mathrm{Sl}(d, \mathbb{R})$ leaving invariant a pointed and generating

cone $W \subset \mathbb{R}^d$. Its flag type is known to be the projective space hence we get differentiability for $\omega = \lambda_1$ as in [16].

Other examples of semigroups are worked out in Section 8. One of them is the semigroup of totally positive matrices whose flag type is the empty set which corresponds to the maximal flag manifold. In this extremal case we get smoothness of the whole spectra.

We conclude this introduction with the following comments.

First we work throughout with semi-simple Lie groups in order to facilitate the algebraic technicalities. However the results are easily extended to reductive Lie groups (like $\mathrm{Gl}(d, \mathbb{R})$) since the central component of the cocycle $\mathsf{a}^{\gamma\phi}(1, \xi)$ descends to a cocycle on the base space (see [1], Section 3.3) whose integral with respect to ν is differentiable.

When the measure ν on the base space X is ergodic then the Multiplicative Ergodic Theorem provides a measurable section χ_{Ly} on the bundle with fiber $\mathbb{A}(H_{\mathrm{Ly}}(\phi))$ of conjugates of $h_{\mathrm{Ly}} = \exp H_{\mathrm{Ly}}(\phi)$ for some $H_{\mathrm{Ly}}(\phi) \in \mathrm{cl}\mathfrak{a}^+$. The fixed point sets of $\chi_{\mathrm{Ly}}(x)$, $x \in X$, give the Oseledets type decomposition of the flag bundles that describes the level sets of the Lyapunov exponents (see [1]). This $H_{\mathrm{Ly}}(\phi)$ yields a flag type $\Theta_{\mathrm{Ly}}(\phi)$ analogous to the flag type for the Morse decomposition. Since the Oseledets decomposition is contained in the Morse decomposition (see [2]) we have $\Theta_{\mathrm{Ly}}(\phi) \subset \Theta_{\mathrm{Mo}}(\phi)$. In general this inclusion is proper. As a consequence of our differentiability result it was proved in [2] that the whole spectra is continuous if $\Theta_{\mathrm{Ly}}(\phi) = \Theta_{\mathrm{Mo}}(\phi)$.

Our result proves differentiability of the Lyapunov spectra when the flow ϕ is perturbed by elements of the gauge group $\mathcal{G}$. However the properties of $\mathcal{G}$ needed in the proof may be satisfied by other groups of automorphisms of Q. In Section 7 we abstracted these properties of $\mathcal{G}$ into five conditions to be satisfied by a group $\mathcal{H}$ so that the proof still works with $\mathcal{H}$ in place of $\mathcal{G}$. When these conditions are satisfied the Lyapunov spectra behaves smoothly when perturbing ϕ by elements of $\mathcal{H}$.

2 Additive cocycles over flag bundles

We recall here the construction of the $\mathfrak{a}$-cocycle yielding the vector valued Lyapunov exponents (see [1], [2], [22] for further details).

Let $Q \to X$ be a principal bundle whose structural group G is a reductive Lie group. The right action of G on Q is denoted by $q \mapsto q \cdot g$, $q \in Q$, $g \in G$. We endow G with an Iwasawa decomposition $G = KAN$, that is kept fixed

throughout.

The bundle Q has a reduction to a subbundle $R \subset Q$ which is a principal bundle with structural group K in such a way that $Q = R \times AN$. This means that any $q \in Q$ decomposes uniquely as

$$q = r \cdot hn, \quad r \in R, \quad hn \in AN.$$

We let

$$\mathsf{R} : Q \to R, \quad q \mapsto r, \qquad \mathsf{A} : Q \to A, \quad q \mapsto h,$$

be the ensuing projections. They satisfy the following properties:

1. $\mathsf{R}(r) = r$, $\mathsf{A}(r) = 1$, when $r \in R$,
2. $\mathsf{R}(q \cdot p) = \mathsf{R}(q)m$, $\mathsf{A}(q \cdot p) = \mathsf{A}(q)h$, when $q \in Q$, $p = mhn \in P = MAN$. In particular, $\mathsf{A}(r \cdot p) = h$.

In what follows we write for $q \in Q$,

$$\mathsf{a}\,(q) = \log \mathsf{A}\,(q) \in \mathfrak{a}.$$

We use the same notation for the Iwasawa decomposition of $g \in G$, namely, $\mathsf{a}\,(g) = \log h$ if $g = uhn \in KAN$. For future reference we note that by the second of the above properties we have

$$\mathsf{a}\,(q \cdot p) = \mathsf{a}\,(q) + \mathsf{a}\,(p)\,, \quad p \in P. \tag{2}$$

Now let ϕ be an automorphism of Q. It induces the map

$$\phi^R : r \in R \mapsto \mathsf{R}(\phi(r)) \in R,$$

which satisfies $\phi^R \circ \psi^R = (\varphi \circ \psi)^R$ if ψ is another automorphism. Hence the flow defined by ϕ induces the flow ϕ^R on R. The map

$$\mathsf{a}^\phi(n, r) = \mathsf{a}(\phi^n(r))$$

is an additive cocycle over ϕ^R, that is,

$$\mathsf{a}^\phi(n + m, r) = \mathsf{a}^\phi(n, \left(\phi^R\right)^m (r)) + \mathsf{a}^\phi(m, r).$$

This cocycle over R factors to a cocycle over the flag bundle $\mathbb{E} = Q \times_G \mathbb{F}$, denoted by the same symbol (see [1], Section 3). The $\mathfrak{a}$-Lyapunov exponent of ϕ in the direction of $\xi \in \mathbb{E}$ is defined by

$$\lambda(\xi) = \lim_{t \to +\infty} \frac{1}{n} \mathsf{a}^{\phi}(n, \xi) \in \mathfrak{a},$$

if the limit exists.

The cocycle $\mathsf{a}^{\phi}(n, \xi)$ is defined only on the maximal flag bundle $\mathbb{E}$. It does not factor to a cocycle on a smaller flag bundle $\mathbb{E}_{\Theta}$. However by composing it with suitable linear maps $\omega \in \mathfrak{a}^*$ we get cocycles $\mathsf{a}^{\phi}_{\omega}(n, \xi) = \omega \circ \mathsf{a}^{\phi}(n, \xi)$ that can be factored to a cocycle in $\mathbb{E}_{\Theta}$. As checked in [1], Section 6, if ω belongs to the span of $\Omega \setminus \Omega_{\Theta}$ (see the introduction) then

$$\mathsf{a}^{\phi}_{\omega}(n, r) = \omega \circ \mathsf{a}^{\phi}(n, r)$$

is constant along the fibers of $\mathbb{E} \to \mathbb{E}_{\Theta}$ and hence defines a cocycle over the flow induced by ϕ on $\mathbb{E}_{\Theta}$. Note that by definition $\operatorname{span}(\Omega \setminus \Omega_{\Theta})$ is the annihilator $\mathfrak{a}(\Theta)^{\circ}$ of 3

$$\mathfrak{a}(\Theta) = \{H \in \mathfrak{a} : \alpha(H) = 0,\ \alpha \in \Theta\}. \tag{3}$$

3 Manifold structures

In this section we review some results about differentiable structures on the gauge group and on the space of sections of associated bundles (see [7], Palais [11], Wockel [24]).

Let $\pi : Q \to X$ be a principal bundle with group G and compact base space X. We assume that there exists a reduction $\pi : R \to X$ with compact group K.

If F is a manifold acted differentiably on the left by G we write $\pi : Q \times_G F \to X$ for the associated bundle whose elements are equivalence classes $q \cdot \xi$ of the equivalence relation on $Q \times F$, $(q, \xi) \sim (qg, g^{-1}\xi)$, $g \in G$. We denote its space of continuous sections by $\Gamma(Q \times_G F)$. For simplicity we assume throughout that G acts transitively on F, that is, $F = G/L$ for a closed subgroup $L \subset G$. We assume that K acts transitively on F, so that $F = G/L = K/(L \cap K)$.

We topologize $\Gamma(Q \times_a F)$ in two different and equivalent ways (we leave implicit that $\Gamma(Q \times_a F) \neq \emptyset$). In both cases $E = Q \times_G F$ is viewed as the associated bundle $R \times_K F \approx Q \times_G F$.

First let $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ be a K-invariant Riemannian metric in F and denote by d_0 the corresponding distance. Since d_0 is K-invariant, $d(p \cdot z, p \cdot w) = d_0(z, w)$ defines a distance in the fiber of $R \times_K F$ over $\pi(p)$. Then

$$\rho(\sigma, \tau) = \sup_{x \in X} d(\sigma(x), \tau(x)) \qquad \sigma, \tau \in \Gamma(R \times_K F)$$

is a distance in $\Gamma(R \times_K F)$.

Alternatively $\Gamma(Q \times_G F)$ is in bijection with the space $\mathcal{C}_{\text{eq}}(R, F)$ of continuous equivariant maps $f : R \to F$, $f(qg) = g \cdot f(q)$. The bijection associates $\sigma \in \Gamma(Q \times_G F)$ to $f_\sigma \in \mathcal{C}_{\text{eq}}(R, F)$ defined by $\sigma(x) = q \cdot f_\sigma(q)$, for any q with $\pi(q) = x$. In $\mathcal{C}_{\text{eq}}(R, F)$ there is a distance defined by $\rho(f, g) = \sup_{q \in R} d_0(f(q), g(q))$. The map $\sigma \mapsto f_\sigma$ is an isometry.

The manifold structure on ΓE is modelled on Banach spaces of vector bundle sections. The fibers of E are manifolds diffeomorphic to F that can be endowed with Riemannian metrics coming from the metric in F. The tangent spaces to the fibers form a vector bundle which we denote by $T^f E$. For $\xi \in E$ we write $\exp_\xi : T^f_\xi E \to E_\xi$ for the exponential of the Riemannian metric in the fiber E_ξ through ξ.

Now, take a section $\sigma \in \Gamma E$ and write $\left(T^f E\right)_\sigma$ for the restriction of $T^f E$ to $\text{im}\sigma$. Then we can define a map $\text{Exp}_\sigma : \Gamma\left(T^f E\right)_\sigma \to \Gamma E$ by associating to a section $Y : \text{im}\sigma \to T^f E$ of $\left(T^f E\right)_\sigma$ the section

$$\text{Exp}_\sigma(\tau)(x) = \exp_{\sigma(x)}(Y(\sigma(x)))$$

of E. It turns out that Exp_σ is continuous and there are neighborhoods $\mathcal{V}_\sigma \subset \Gamma\left(T^f E\right)_\sigma$ of the zero section and $\mathcal{U}_\sigma \subset \Gamma E$ such that $\text{Exp}_\sigma : \mathcal{V}_\sigma \to \mathcal{U}_\sigma$ is a homeomorphism.

Thus Exp_σ defines a chart for ΓE around σ modelled on the open set $\mathcal{V}$ of the Banach space $\Gamma\left(T^f E\right)_\sigma$. It can be proved that the charts Exp_σ, $\sigma \in \Gamma E$, form a differentiable atlas, so that ΓE becomes a smooth manifold.

This smooth structure can be read off from the equivariant maps f_σ of the sections $\sigma \in \Gamma E$. In fact, one can build on the space of continuous maps $\mathcal{C}(R, F)$ the structure of a differentiable manifold. It can be proved that the map

$$\sigma \in \Gamma E \mapsto \mathcal{C}_{\text{eq}}(R, F) \subset \mathcal{C}(R, F)$$

is an embedding, so that $\mathcal{C}_{\text{eq}}(R, F)$ becomes a submanifold diffeomorphic to ΓE.

A continuous automorphism $\phi \in \mathrm{Aut}(Q)$ lifts to a map in $\Gamma(R \times_K F)$ defined by

$$\Gamma\phi : \Gamma(R \times_K F) \to \Gamma(R \times_K F), \quad \Gamma\phi(\sigma)(x) = \phi\left(\sigma\left(\phi^{-1}(x)\right)\right).$$

The equivariant map of $\Gamma\phi(\sigma)$ is $f_\sigma \circ \phi$ where $f_\sigma : Q \to F$ is the equivariant map of ϕ. Since the map $f \in \mathcal{C}(Q, F) \mapsto f \circ \phi \in \mathcal{C}(Q, F)$ is smooth it follows that these liftings are smooth as well.

Proposition 3.1 *If $\phi \in \mathrm{Aut}(Q)$ then $\Gamma\phi : \Gamma(R \times_K F) \to \Gamma(R \times_K F)$ is differentiable with the above manifold structure.*

The differencial $d(\Gamma\phi)_\sigma$ at the section σ is a linear map defined on the tangent space $T_\sigma \Gamma E$ which is the space of sections $\Gamma T^f_\sigma E$. It is given pointwise as follows. The differentials $d\phi$ of ϕ along the fibers are well defined giving rise to a bundle map $d\phi : T^f E \to T^f E$. This bundle map extends to a linear map on the space of sections $\Gamma T^f E$, which we denote by $\Phi : \Gamma T^f E \to \Gamma T^f E$. Then the following expression for $d(\Gamma\phi)_\sigma$ can be proved.

Proposition 3.2 *$d(\Gamma\phi)_\sigma$ is the restriction of Φ to $T^f_\sigma E$.*

We turn now to the gauge group $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{G}(Q)$ of Q which is the (normal) subgroup of the group $\mathrm{Aut}(Q)$ whose elements are continuous automorphisms that induce the identity map on X. An element $\gamma \in \mathcal{G}$ defines the continuous map $f_\gamma : Q \to G$ by $\gamma(q) = q \cdot f_\gamma(q)$, which is equivariant $f_\gamma(qg) = g^{-1} f(q) g$. The equivariance property ensures that f_γ is completely determined by its values in R. Now we endow $\mathcal{G}$ with the distance

$$d(\gamma, \eta) = \sup_{q \in R} d_0(f_\gamma(q), f_\eta(q))$$

where d_0 is a distance in G coming from an invariant Riemannian metric. $\mathcal{G}$ has a structure of Banach-Lie group compatible with the topology given by d. Its Lie algebra $\mathrm{Lie}(\mathcal{G})$ is the space $\Gamma(Q \times_{\mathrm{Ad}} \mathfrak{g})$ of continuous sections of the vector bundle $Q \times_{\mathrm{Ad}} \mathfrak{g}$, which identifies with the space of continuous maps $f : Q \to \mathfrak{g}$ that are equivariant, $f(qg) = \mathrm{Ad}(g^{-1}) f(q)$. The exponential map in $\mathcal{G}$ is obtained pointwise by associating to $f : Q \to \mathfrak{g}$ the element $\gamma = \mathrm{Exp} f \in \mathcal{G}$ whose equivariant map is $\exp f(\cdot)$, that is, $\gamma(q) = q \exp f(q)$. The exponential map yields a coordinate system $\mathrm{Exp} : \mathcal{V} \to \mathcal{U}$ for suitable

chosen open sets $\mathcal{V} \subset \mathrm{Lie}(\mathcal{G})$ and $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathcal{G}$ containing the zero section and the identity of $\mathcal{G}$, respectively.

The action of $\mathcal{G} \times \Gamma(Q \times_G F) \to \Gamma(Q \times_G F)$ on a space of sections $\Gamma(Q \times_G F)$ is smooth. It induces an infinitesimal action which associates to an element $Y \in \mathrm{Lie}(\mathcal{G})$ a vector field $\widetilde{Y}$ on $\Gamma(Q \times_G F)$ defined by

$$\widetilde{Y}(\sigma) = \frac{d}{dt}\left(\mathrm{Exp} tY\right)(\sigma)_{|t=0}.$$

The map $Y \mapsto \widetilde{Y}(\sigma)$ is the differential at 1 of the evaluation map $E(\gamma) = \gamma(\sigma)$.

If $\phi \in \mathrm{Aut}(Q)$ then the conjugation $C_\phi : \mathcal{G} \to \mathcal{G}$, $C_\phi(\gamma) = \phi\gamma\phi^{-1}$ is a smooth map of $\mathcal{G}$. In fact, if f_γ is the equivariant map of $\gamma \in \mathcal{G}$ then $\phi\gamma\phi^{-1}(q) = q \cdot f_\gamma(\phi^{-1}(q))$. Hence in a chart $\mathrm{Exp} : \mathcal{V} \to \mathcal{U}$ around the identity C_ϕ becomes the map that associates $f : Q \to \mathfrak{g}$ to $f \circ \phi^{-1}$. If we view $\mathrm{Lie}(\mathcal{G})$ as the space sections $\Gamma(Q \times_{\mathrm{Ad}} \mathfrak{g})$ then the conjugation C_ϕ is read in a chart as the restriction of a bounded linear map, namely $\phi \circ \sigma \circ \phi^{-1}$, $\sigma \in \Gamma(Q \times_{\mathrm{Ad}} \mathfrak{g})$. Therefore, C_ϕ is smooth at the identity of $\mathcal{G}$. Since it is an automorphism of $\mathcal{G}$ it is smooth everywhere.

4 Perturbation of the attractor Morse component

As mentioned in the introduction by the results of [4] and [13] show that in a flag bundle $\mathbb{E}_\Theta$ there is a finest Morse decomposition, which is given by a continuous section χ_ϕ of the associated bundle $Q \times_G \mathbb{A}(H_{\mathrm{Mo}}(\phi))$ where $H_{\mathrm{Mo}}(\phi) \in \mathrm{cl}\mathfrak{a}^+$ and $\mathbb{A}(H_{\mathrm{Mo}}(\phi))$ is its adjoint orbit. The flag type of ϕ is the flag manifold $\mathbb{F}_{\Theta_{\mathrm{Mo}}(\phi)}$ where the subset $\Theta_{\mathrm{Mo}}(\phi)$ of the simple system of roots Σ is given by

$$\Theta_{\mathrm{Mo}}(\phi) = \{\alpha \in \Sigma : \alpha(H_{\mathrm{Mo}}(\phi)) = 0\}.$$

Since the components of the finest Morse decomposition are constructed by the fixed point sets of conjugates of $h = \exp H_{\mathrm{Mo}}(\phi)$, it follows that in the specific flag bundle $\mathbb{E}_{\Theta_{\mathrm{Mo}}(\phi)} \to X$ the attractor Morse component meets each fiber in a singleton.

Hence this attractor component is the image of a continuous section $\sigma_{\mathrm{Mo}} \in \Gamma\mathbb{E}_{\Theta_{\mathrm{Mo}}(\phi)}$, which is ϕ-invariant. This means that σ_{Mo} is a fixed point for the action of ϕ on $\Gamma\mathbb{E}_{\Theta_{\mathrm{Mo}}(\phi)}$.

The existence of fixed points on $\Gamma\mathbb{E}_{\Theta_{\mathrm{Mo}}(\phi)}$ for automorphisms close to ϕ will be ensured by the following easy consequence of the Implicit Function Theorem.

Lemma 4.1 *Let M and $\mathcal{H}$ be $\mathcal{C}^k$, $k \geq 1$, Banach manifolds and $p : \mathcal{H} \times M \to M$ a C^k map. For $y \in \mathcal{H}$ let $\gamma_y : M \to M$ be the partial map $\gamma_y(x) = p(y, x)$. Take $(y_0, x_0) \in \mathcal{H} \times M$ such that $\gamma_{y_0}(x_0) = x_0$ and assume that $d\gamma_{y_0} - \mathrm{id}$ is invertible. Then there exists a neighborhood V of y_0 in $\mathcal{H}$ and a $\mathcal{C}^k$ map $\chi : V \to M$ such that $\chi(y)$ is fixed by γ_y, $y \in V$, that is, $\gamma_y(\chi(y)) = \chi(y)$.*

Proof: Take local coordinates around y_0 and x_0 and apply the implicit function theorem to the map

$$f(\gamma, x) = \gamma(x) - x,$$

which is possible by the existence of $(d\gamma_{y_0} - \mathrm{id})^{-1}$. □

We will apply Lemma 4.1 to the action of $\mathcal{G}\phi \times \Gamma\mathbb{E}_{\Theta_{\mathrm{Mo}}} \to \Gamma\mathbb{E}_{\Theta_{\mathrm{Mo}}}$, which by Proposition 3.1 is smooth. We take $y_0 = \phi$ and x_0 to be the section $\sigma_{\mathrm{Mo}} \in \Gamma\mathbb{E}_{\Theta_{\mathrm{Mo}}}$ such that $\mathcal{M}^+_{\Theta_{\mathrm{Mo}}} = \mathrm{im}\sigma_{\mathrm{Mo}}$ is the attractor Morse component in $\mathbb{E}_{\Theta_{\mathrm{Mo}}}$. To check the invertibility condition of Lemma 4.1 recall that by Proposition 3.2 the differential $d(\Gamma\phi)_\sigma$ is the restriction of Φ to $T^f_\sigma E$. The invertibility of $\Phi - \mathrm{id}$ is a consequence of the following uniformity lemma.

Lemma 4.2 *There exists a norm $\|\cdot\|$ in $T^f\mathbb{E}_\Theta$ and $\alpha > 0$ such that*

$$\|\Phi\| = \sup_{\eta \in \mathcal{M}^+_{\Theta_{\mathrm{Mo}}}} \|d\phi_\eta\| \leq e^{-\alpha} < 1.$$

Proof: It was proved in [14], Corolary 5.2 that there are a norm $\|\cdot\|$ in $T^f\mathbb{E}_{\Theta_{\mathrm{Mo}}}$ and $\alpha > 0$ such that if $v \in T^f_\eta\mathbb{E}_{\Theta_{\mathrm{Mo}}}$ with $\eta \in \mathcal{M}^+_{\Theta_{\mathrm{Mo}}}$ then

$$\left\|d\phi^n_\eta v\right\| < e^{-\alpha n}\|v\|.$$

In particular $\|d\phi_\eta v\| < e^{-\alpha}\|v\|$ so that for any $\eta \in \mathcal{M}^+_{\Theta_{\mathrm{Mo}}}$ we have $\|d\phi_\eta\| < e^{-\alpha} < 1$. Thus $\|\Phi\| \leq e^{-\alpha} < 1$ as claimed. □

Corollary 4.3 $d(\Gamma\phi)_\sigma - \mathrm{id}$ *is invertible.*

Now we can apply the Implicit Function Theorem via Lemma 4.1 to get fixed points of $\gamma\phi$.

Proposition 4.4 *With the above notation, there exists a neighborhood V of the identity of $\mathcal{G}$ and a smooth map $s : V \to \Gamma\mathbb{E}_{\Theta_{\mathrm{Mo}}}$, $s(\gamma) = \sigma_\gamma$, such that σ_γ is $\gamma\phi$-invariant and a $\Gamma(\gamma\phi)$-fixed point. Its differential at the identity $1 \in \mathcal{G}$ is*

$$ds_1(Y) = -(\Phi - \mathrm{id})^{-1} \widetilde{Y}(\sigma_1)$$

where $Y \in \mathrm{Lie}(\mathcal{G})$ and $\widetilde{Y}(\tau) = d/dt\,(\mathrm{Exp}Y)(\tau)_{t=0}$.

Proof: Apply Lemma 4.1 to the map $\mathcal{G}\phi \times \Gamma(\mathbb{E}_{\Theta_{\mathrm{Mo}}}) \to \Gamma(\mathbb{E}_{\Theta_{\mathrm{Mo}}})$ given by the action of $\mathcal{G}\phi$ on $\Gamma(\mathbb{E}_{\Theta_{\mathrm{Mo}}})$, which is possible because $d(\Gamma\phi)_\sigma - \mathrm{id}$ is invertible. □

We proceed now to prove that an invariant section σ_γ of Proposition 4.4 is the attractor Morse component of $\gamma\phi$. This fact will be used below to give a differentiable parametrization of the invariant measures for the flows generated by $\gamma\phi$.

The proof that σ_γ is the attractor Morse component of $\gamma\phi$ uses the concept of transversality of sections in flag bundles, which can be seen, for instance in [1], Section 6.2.

Take $\psi \in \mathrm{Aut}(Q)$ a flag bundle $\mathbb{E}_\Theta$ and its dual $\mathbb{E}_{\Theta^*}$. Suppose that threre are transversal ψ-invariant sections $\sigma : X \to \mathbb{E}_\Theta$ and $\sigma^* : X \to \mathbb{E}_{\Theta^*}$. Take $H_\Theta \in \mathrm{cl}\mathfrak{a}^+$ such that

$$\Theta = \{\alpha \in \Sigma : \alpha(H_\Theta) = 0\}$$

and let Z_{H_Θ} stand for the centralizer of H_Θ in G.

The transversal sections σ and σ^* give rise to a ψ-invariant section χ of the bundle $Q \times_G \mathrm{Ad}(G) H_\Theta$, because $\mathrm{Ad}(G) H_\Theta$ identifies to the set of transversal elements in $\mathbb{F}_\Theta \times \mathbb{F}_{\Theta^*}$. In turn χ defines a Z_{H_Θ}-reduction $L \subset Q$, thas is ψ-invariant (see [14], [22]).

The Z_H-reduction yields a conjugation around σ between ψ and its linearization $\Psi = d\psi$ on the vertical tangent bundle $T^f_\sigma \mathbb{E}_\Theta$ (see [14]):

Lemma 4.5 *The linearization Ψ is conjugate to ψ, that is, there exists a homeomorphism $e : T^f_\sigma \mathbb{E}_\Theta \to S$ where $S \subset \mathbb{E}_\Theta$ is open such that $e\Psi(v) = \psi(ev)$ if $v \in T^f_\sigma \mathbb{E}_\Theta$. The conjugation e maps the zero section 0 to itself. The same holds for the section σ^* of $\mathbb{E}_{\Theta^*}$.*

By using this conjugation we can prove that the image of σ is the attractor Morse component of ψ in $\mathbb{E}_\Theta$.

Proposition 4.6 *Let $\psi \in \mathrm{Aut}\,(Q)$, $\mathbb{E}_\Theta$ a flag bundle with dual $\mathbb{E}_{\Theta^*}$. Assume the following conditions:*

1. *There are transversal ψ-invariant sections $\sigma : X \to \mathbb{E}_\Theta$ and $\sigma^* : X \to \mathbb{E}_{\Theta^*}$.*

2. *$\|d\psi_\sigma\| < 1$, where $d\psi_\sigma = \Psi$ is seen as a linear map in the space of sections of the vertical tangent bundle at the image of σ.*

Then, $\mathrm{im}\sigma$ *is the attractor Morse component of the finest Morse decomposition of ψ in $\mathbb{E}_\Theta$.*

Proof: Let $B \subset T^f_\sigma \mathbb{E}_\Theta$ be the unit ball and $A = e\,(B)$, where e is the conjugation of the above lemma. Assumption (2) implies that A is an attractor neighborhood of $\mathrm{im}\sigma$. In fact, if $x_n = e\,(v_n) \in A$ then

$$\|\Psi^n v_n\| \leq \|\Psi^n\| \cdot \|v_n\| \leq \|\Psi\|^n \to 0.$$

Hence the accumulation points of $\psi\,(x_n) = e\Psi\,(v_n)$ are contained in $\mathrm{im}\sigma$. It follows that $\mathrm{im}\sigma$ contains the attractor component $\mathcal{M}^+_\Theta\,(\psi)$ of the finest Morse in $\mathbb{E}_\Theta$, which implies that $\mathrm{im}\sigma = \mathcal{M}^+_\Theta\,(\psi)$ because $\mathrm{im}\sigma$ meets each fiber in a sigleton. □

Remark: It follows by the above proposition that the flag type $\Theta_{\mathrm{Mo}}\,(\psi)$ of ψ is contained in Θ, since its attractor component $\mathcal{M}^+_\Theta\,(\psi)$ is the image of a section.

Now we can check that the sections of Proposition 4.4 are indeed Morse components.

Theorem 4.7 *Let $\Theta_{\mathrm{Mo}} = \Theta_{\mathrm{Mo}}\,(\phi)$ be the flag type of ϕ and $\sigma \in \Gamma\,(\mathbb{E}_{\Theta_{\mathrm{Mo}}})$ the section defining the attractor Morse component of ϕ in $\mathbb{E}_{\Theta_{\mathrm{Mo}}}$. Then we can choose $V \subset \mathcal{G}$ for the map $\gamma \in V \mapsto \sigma_\gamma \in \Gamma\,(\mathbb{E}_{\Theta_{\mathrm{Mo}}})$ of Proposition 4.4, such that the attractor Morse component of $\gamma\phi$ in $\mathbb{E}_{\Theta_{\mathrm{Mo}}}$ is the image of σ_γ, $\gamma \in V$.*

Proof: Is a consequence of Proposition 4.6. To check its assumptions we note first that the flag type of ϕ^{-1} is Θ^*_{Mo}. Hence there exists a section $\sigma^*_{\mathrm{Mo}} : X \to \mathbb{E}_{\Theta^*_{\mathrm{Mo}}}$ which is ϕ^{-1}-invariant and its image is the attractor Morse component of ϕ^{-1} in $\mathbb{E}_{\Theta^*_{\mathrm{Mo}}}$. The section σ^*_{Mo} is transversal to σ_{Mo}.

Now we can apply Proposition 4.4 to ϕ and ϕ^{-1} and the sections σ_{Mo} and σ^*_{Mo}, respectively, to get a neighborhood of the identity $W \subset \mathcal{G}$ and differentiable maps $\gamma \in W \mapsto \sigma_\gamma \in \Gamma\left(\mathbb{E}_{\Theta_{\mathrm{Mo}}}\right)$ and $\gamma \in W \mapsto \sigma^*_\gamma \in \Gamma\left(\mathbb{E}_{\Theta^*_{\mathrm{Mo}}}\right)$ such that σ_γ is $\gamma\phi$-invariant and σ^*_γ is $\gamma^{-1}\phi^{-1}$-invariant. Since transversality is an open condition and σ^*_{Mo} is transversal to σ_{Mo} we can shrink W so that σ_γ and σ^*_η are transversal if $\gamma, \eta \in W$.

Put $V = W \cap \phi W \phi^{-1}$. If $\gamma \in V$ then σ_γ is $\gamma\phi$-invariant. The section $\sigma^*_\eta \in \Gamma\left(\mathbb{E}_{\Theta^*_{\mathrm{Mo}}}\right)$ is also $\gamma\phi$-invariant where $\eta = \phi\gamma\phi^{-1}$, because σ^*_η is invariant by $\eta^{-1}\phi^{-1}$ and hence by $\phi\eta = \left(\eta^{-1}\phi^{-1}\right)^{-1}$. So σ^*_η is invariant by $\gamma\phi = \left(\phi\eta\phi^{-1}\right)\phi = \phi\eta$. Hence the first assumption of Proposition 4.6 holds for $\psi = \gamma\phi$ if $\gamma \in V$.

Now, by continuity we can take V so that $\left\| d\left(\gamma\phi\right)_{\sigma_\gamma} \right\| < 1$ (see Lemma 4.2). Hence the second assumption of Proposition 4.6 holds so that $\mathrm{im}\sigma_\gamma$ is the attractor Morse component in $\mathbb{E}_\Theta$ of $\gamma\phi$, $\gamma \in V$. □

Remark: It follows by the previous theorem that the flag type $\Theta_{\mathrm{Mo}}\left(\gamma\phi\right)$ is contained in $\Theta_{\mathrm{Mo}}\left(\phi\right)$ if γ is close to identity. This fact however can be obtained by general topological facts about Morse decompositions.

5 Smoothness of the additive cocycles

The objective here is to prove that the additive cocycles $\mathsf{a}^\phi_\omega\left(n, \cdot\right)$ on a flag bundle $\mathbb{E}_\Theta$ depend differentiably on the flow ϕ in the following sense: For each $\gamma \in \mathcal{G}$ we have the cocycle $\mathsf{a}^{\gamma\phi}_\omega\left(n, \xi\right)$ on $\mathbb{E}_\Theta$ over the flow induced by $\gamma\phi$, where ω belongs to the linear span of $\Omega \setminus \Omega_\Theta$. The map $\xi \in \mathbb{E}_\Theta \mapsto \mathsf{a}^{\gamma\phi}_\omega\left(n, \xi\right) \in \mathbb{R}$ is continuous. Taking $n = 1$ and fixing ϕ we have a map

$$F^\phi_\omega : \mathcal{G} \to \mathcal{C}\left(\mathbb{E}_\Theta, \mathbb{R}\right) \qquad F^\phi_\omega\left(\gamma\right) = \mathsf{a}^{\gamma\phi}_\omega\left(1, \cdot\right), \tag{4}$$

where $\mathcal{C}\left(\mathbb{E}_\Theta, \mathbb{R}\right)$ is the Banach space of continuous functions $\mathbb{E}_\Theta \to \mathbb{R}$ endowed with the sup norm.

Proposition 5.1 *The map $F^{\phi}_{\omega} : \mathcal{G} \to \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{E}_{\Theta}, \mathbb{R})$ is smooth. Its differential at $\gamma = 1$ is*

$$d\left(F^{\phi}_{\omega}\right)_1 (Y)\,(r \cdot b_{\Theta}) = \omega \circ \mathbf{a}\left(f_Y\left(\phi^R(r)\right)\right) \tag{5}$$

where $Y \in T_1\mathcal{G}$ is a section of the bundle $Q \times_{\mathrm{Ad}} \mathfrak{g}$, $f_Y : R \to \mathfrak{g}$ is the equivariant map of Y and $\mathbf{a} : \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{a}$ is the projection onto the $\mathfrak{a}$-component of the Iwasawa decomposition $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{a} \oplus \mathfrak{n}$. Here b_{Θ} is the origin of $\mathbb{F}_{\Theta}$.

To prove the proposition consider first the map F^{ϕ} defined by the cocycle over ϕ^R on the reduction R. Let $i : \mathcal{G} \to \mathcal{C}(R, G)$ be the embedding $i(\gamma) = f_{\gamma}$ where f_{γ} is the equivariant map defined by $\gamma(r) = r f_{\gamma}(r)$, $r \in R$.

Given the Iwasawa decomposition $G = KAN$ let $\mathsf{A} : G \to A$ be the projection, which is a smooth map, and define the map $\mathcal{A} : \mathcal{C}(R, G) \to \mathcal{C}(R, A)$, $\mathcal{A}(f) = \mathsf{A} \circ f$, which is smooth as well.

By definition of the cocycle $\mathsf{a}^{\gamma}(n, r)$ over $R \subset Q$, defined by $\gamma \in \mathcal{G}$, we have

$$\mathsf{a}^{\gamma}(1, r) = \log\left(\mathcal{A}(i(\gamma))(r)\right) \qquad r \in R.$$

Hence the map

$$\begin{array}{rccc} F: & \mathcal{G} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{C}(R, \mathfrak{a}) \\ & \gamma & \longmapsto & \mathsf{a}^{\gamma}(1, \cdot) \end{array} \tag{6}$$

is smooth.

As to the map $F^{\phi}(\gamma) = \mathsf{a}^{\gamma\phi}(1, \cdot)$, we have

$$\mathsf{a}^{\gamma\phi}(1, r) = \mathsf{a}^{\gamma}\left(1, \phi^R(r)\right) + \mathsf{a}^{\phi}(1, r), \qquad r \in R. \tag{7}$$

In fact $\phi(r) = \phi^R(r) \cdot hn$, with $hn \in AN$ and $\log h = \mathsf{a}^{\phi}(1, r)$. Also,

$$\gamma\left(\phi^R(r)\right) = \phi^R(r) \cdot f_{\gamma}\left(\phi^R(r)\right).$$

Write the Iwasawa decomposition of $f_{\gamma}\left(\phi^R(r)\right) \in G$ as $f_{\gamma}\left(\phi^R(r)\right) = kan'$, so that $\log a = \mathsf{a}^{\gamma}\left(1, \phi^R(r)\right)$. Then we get

$$\gamma\phi(r) = \gamma\left(\phi^R(r) \cdot hn\right) = \gamma\left(\phi^R(r)\right) \cdot hn = \phi^R(r) \cdot kah\overline{n}$$

with $\overline{n} \in N$. Hence, $\mathsf{a}^{\gamma\phi}(1, r) = \log a + \log h = \mathsf{a}^{\gamma}\left(1, \phi^R(r)\right) + \mathsf{a}^{\phi}(1, r)$ as claimed.

By (7) the map F^{ϕ} is the composition of F with the affine map of $\mathcal{C}(R, \mathfrak{a})$ given by $f \mapsto f \circ \phi^R + \mathsf{a}^{\phi}(1, \cdot)$. Its linear part $f \in \mathcal{C}(R, \mathfrak{a}) \mapsto f \circ \phi^R \in \mathcal{C}(R, \mathfrak{a})$ is an isometry hence the affine map is smooth showing that F^{ϕ} is smooth.

The composition $\omega \circ F^{\phi}$, $\omega \in \operatorname{span}(\Omega \setminus \Omega_{\Theta})$ is also smooth and since factors to $\mathbb{E}_{\Theta}$ yielding F^{ϕ}_{ω} we conclude that this last map is smooth as well.

Now we look at the differential of F^{ϕ}_{ω}. We have

$$d\left(F^{\phi}_{\omega}\right)_1(Y) = \frac{d}{dt} F^{\phi}_{\omega}(\exp tY)_{t=0}$$

where $\exp tY$ is the exponential in $\mathcal{G}$, whose equivariant function is $f_{\exp tY}(r) = e^{tf_Y(r)}$. Since we know in advance that F^{ϕ}_{ω} is differentiable we can perform the derivative in the right hand side pointwise. By (7)

$$F^{\phi}_{\omega}(\exp tY)(r \cdot b_{\Theta}) = \omega \circ \mathsf{a}^{\gamma_t}\left(1, \phi^R(r) \cdot b_{\Theta}\right) + \omega \circ \mathsf{a}^{\phi}(1, r \cdot b_{\Theta})$$

where $\gamma_t = \exp tY$. But $\mathsf{a}^{\gamma_t}\left(1, \phi^R(r)\right) = \log h_t$ where $\gamma_t\left(\phi^R(r)\right) = \phi^R(r) \cdot u_t h_t n_t$ is the Iwasawa decomposition. But

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_t\left(\phi^R(r)\right) &= \phi^R(r) \cdot f_{\exp tY}\left(\phi^R(r)\right) \\ &= \phi^R(r) \cdot e^{tf_Y\left(\phi^R(r)\right)} \end{aligned}$$

Hence $u_t h_t n_t = e^{tf_Y\left(\phi^R(r)\right)}$. Taking derivatives it follows that $\frac{d}{dt}\mathsf{a}^{\gamma_t}\left(1, \phi^R(r)\right)_{t=0}$ is the $\mathfrak{a}$-component of $f_Y\left(\phi^R(r)\right)$.

6 Main theorem

In this section we prove the main result on the differentiability of Lyapunov spectra. As before we let ν be a ϕ-invariant probability measure on X and write $H^{+}_{\phi}(x) \in \mathrm{cl}\mathfrak{a}^{+}$ for the polar exponent of $\phi \in \operatorname{Aut}(Q)$ given by the subadditive ergodic theorem. Also Ω_{Θ} is the set of fundamental weights corresponding to the simple roots in Θ, so that $\operatorname{span}(\Omega \setminus \Omega_{\Theta})$ is the annihilator of $\mathfrak{a}(\Theta)$ (see (3)).

Theorem 6.1 *Let $\Theta = \Theta_{\mathrm{Mo}}(\phi)$ be the flag type of the Morse decompositions of ϕ. Take $\omega \in \Omega \setminus \Omega_{\Theta}$. Then the map $\Lambda_{\omega} : \mathcal{G} \to \mathbb{R}$,*

$$\Lambda_{\omega}(\gamma) = \int_X \omega\left(H^{+}_{\gamma\phi}(x)\right)\nu(dx) \tag{8}$$

is smooth at the identity $1 \in \mathcal{G}$.

Remark: The gauge group $\mathcal{G}$ of $X \times G$ is given simply by maps $f : X \to G$ so that a $\gamma \in \mathcal{G}$ is written $\gamma(x,g) = (x, g f_\gamma(x,g))$ where $f_\gamma(x,g) = g^{-1} f_\gamma(x)\, g$ is the equivariant map and $f_\gamma(x) = f_\gamma(x,1)$ is its restriction. The differentiable structure on $\mathcal{G}$ is then constructed as a manifold of maps $\mathcal{C}(X,G)$. If ϕ is defined by the cocycle ρ then $\gamma\phi(x,g) = (\tau(x), f_\gamma(x)\,\rho(1,x)\,g)$ so that $\gamma\phi$ is defined by the cocycle generated by $f_\gamma(x)\,\rho(1,x)$. Hence the map (8) is seen as a map $\mathcal{C}(X,G) \to \mathbb{R}$ and differentiability is taken with respect to the manifold structure of $\mathcal{C}(X,G)$.

The first step in the proof of the theorem is to write the integral in (8) as an integral with respect to an invariant measure on the flag bundle $\mathbb{E}_{\Theta_{\mathrm{Mo}}(\phi)}$. Recall that by the multiplicative ergodic theorem version of [1] if $\psi \in \mathrm{Aut}(Q)$ has invariant measure ν on X then

1. for ν-almost all x there exists a partially defined Oseledets section $\chi(x) \in Q \times_G \mathrm{Ad}(G)\, H^+_\psi(x)$ such that the $\mathfrak{a}$-Lyapunov exponent $\lambda_\psi(\xi)$ in the direction of ξ in the full flag bundle $\mathbb{E}$ is given by por

$$\lambda_\psi(\xi) = \lim \frac{1}{n} \mathsf{a}^\psi(n,\xi) = w^{-1} H^+_\psi(x). \tag{9}$$

   if $\xi \in \mathrm{fix}(\chi(x), w) \subset \mathbb{E}$. Here $\mathsf{a}^\psi(n,\xi)$ is the cocycle on $\mathbb{E}$ over ψ. In particular $H^+_\psi(x) = \lambda_\psi(\xi)$ if $w = 1$.

2. In this decomposition a component $\mathrm{fix}(\chi(x), w)$ is contained in the Morse component $\mathcal{M}_w(\psi)$, so that the attractor Morse component $\mathcal{M}^+(\psi)$ contains $\mathrm{fix}(\chi(x), 1)$.

A similar picture holds in a partial flag bundle $\mathbb{E}_\Theta$. If $\omega \in \mathrm{span}(\Omega \setminus \Omega_\Theta)$ then the $\mathbb{R}$-valued cocycle $\mathsf{a}^\psi_\omega(n,\xi) = \omega\left(\mathsf{a}^\psi(n,\xi)\right)$, factors to $\mathbb{E}_\Theta$ and we get

$$\lim \frac{1}{n} \mathsf{a}^\psi_\omega(n,\xi) = \omega\left(w^{-1} H^+_\psi(x)\right)$$

if $\xi \in \mathrm{fix}_\Theta(\chi(x), w) \subset \mathbb{E}_\Theta$, which is the projection of $\mathrm{fix}(\chi(x), w)$.

Now, let $\Theta_{\mathrm{Mo}}(\psi)$ be the flag type of ψ and take $\Theta \supset \Theta_{\mathrm{Mo}}(\psi)$. In the flag bundle $\mathbb{E}_\Theta$ the attractor Morse component $\mathcal{M}^+_\Theta(\psi)$ is the image of a continuous section $\sigma^\psi_\Theta : X \to \mathbb{E}_\Theta$ and since $\mathrm{fix}_\Theta(\chi(x), 1) \subset \mathcal{M}^+_\Theta(\psi)$ it follows that

$$\mathrm{fix}_\Theta(\chi(x), 1) = \sigma^\psi_\Theta(x)$$

for any x in the set of full measure where $\chi(x)$ is defined. Hence if $\omega \in \operatorname{span}(\Omega \setminus \Omega_\Theta)$ then for ν-almost all x we have

$$\lim \frac{1}{n} \mathsf{a}_\omega^\psi (n, \sigma_\Theta(x)) = \omega \left(H_\psi^+(x) \right).$$

Hence if we let $\nu_\Theta^\psi = \sigma_\Theta^\psi \cdot \nu$ be the push forward of ν under σ_Θ^ψ then the Birkhoff ergodic theorem applied to $f(\xi) = \mathsf{a}_\omega^\psi(1, \xi)$ yields that

$$\int \omega \left(H_\psi^+(x) \right) \nu(dx) = \int \mathsf{a}_\omega^\psi(1, \xi) \, \nu_\Theta^\psi(d\xi). \tag{10}$$

Summarizing we have

Proposition 6.2 *Let $\psi \in \operatorname{Aut}(Q)$ with invariant measure ν on X. Take $\Theta \subset \Sigma$ with $\Theta_{\mathrm{Mo}}(\psi) \subset \Theta$ so that the attractor Morse component $\mathcal{M}_\Theta^+(\psi)$ in $\mathbb{E}_\Theta$ is the image of the continuous section $\sigma_\Theta^\psi : X \to \mathbb{E}_\Theta$. Put $\nu_\Theta^\psi = \sigma_\Theta^\psi \cdot \nu$ then (10) holds.*

6.1 Smooth parametrization of the invariant measures in $\mathbb{E}_\Theta$

Consider now the flag bundle $\mathbb{E}_{\Theta_{\mathrm{Mo}}}$ with $\Theta_{\mathrm{Mo}} = \Theta_{\mathrm{Mo}}(\phi)$ defining the flag type of ϕ. By Proposition 4.4 there exists a neighborhood V of the identity of $\mathcal{G}$ and a map $\gamma \in \mathcal{G} \mapsto \sigma_\gamma \in \Gamma \mathbb{E}_{\Theta_{\mathrm{Mo}}}$ such that the image of σ_γ is the attractor Morse component of $\gamma\phi$. Given the invariant measure ν on X write $\nu_\gamma = \sigma_\gamma \cdot \nu$ for the push forward of ν by σ_γ. This measure is invariant by $\gamma\phi$. When $\gamma = 1$, $\sigma_\gamma = \sigma_1 = \sigma$ and ν_1 is equal the measure $\nu_{\Theta_{\mathrm{Mo}}}^\phi$ of the last section.

In the proof of Theorem 6.1 it will be needed a differentiable parametrization of the measures ν_γ. To write it let $\mathbb{E}_\Theta$ be a flag bundle admitting a section σ. Consider the evaluation map $E : \mathcal{G} \to \Gamma \mathbb{E}_\Theta$ given by

$$E(\gamma) = \gamma \circ \sigma$$

which is differentiable since it is the action of the gauge group on the space of sections. The parametrization will be obtained by an application of the implicit function theorem to E around the identity. For it we must check that the differential dE_1 of E at the identity $1 \in \mathcal{G}$ is onto and its kernel is complemented by a closed subspace.

To prove these facts let $f_\sigma \in \mathcal{C}_{\rm eq}(R, \mathbb{F}_\Theta)$ be the equivariant map of the section σ. It defines the K_Θ-subbundle of R, $\mathcal{L}_\sigma = f_\sigma^{-1}\{b_\Theta\}$ where b_Θ is the origin of $\mathbb{F}_\Theta$ and K_Θ the isotropy group at b_Θ of the K action on $\mathbb{F}_\Theta$. The space of sections $\Gamma\mathbb{E}_{\Theta_{\rm Mo}}$ can be seen as the space of equivariant maps $\mathcal{C}_{\rm eq}(\mathcal{L}_\sigma, \mathbb{F}_\Theta)$. Analogously $\mathcal{G}$ is $\mathcal{C}_{\rm eq}(\mathcal{L}_\sigma, G)$. Their tangent spaces are $T_\sigma\mathcal{C}_{\rm eq}(\mathcal{L}_\sigma, \mathbb{F}_\Theta) = \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{L}_\sigma, \mathfrak{n}_\Theta^-)$ and $T_1\mathcal{C}_{\rm eq}(\mathcal{L}_\sigma, G) = \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{L}_\sigma, \mathfrak{g})$.

Now, let $f_\gamma : \mathcal{L}_\sigma \to G$ be the equivariant map of $\gamma \in \mathcal{G}$. Then $f_{\gamma\circ\sigma}(r) = f_\gamma(r) \cdot f_\sigma(r)$, which means that in terms of the equivariant maps E is given by

$$E(f_\gamma) = f_\gamma \cdot f_\sigma.$$

Its differential is obtained by taking pointwise derivatives. Thus if $Y \in \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{L}_\sigma, \mathfrak{g})$ then

$$(dE_1(Y))(r) = dp_1 \cdot Y(r)$$

where $p : G \to \mathbb{F}_\Theta$ is the projection. We have $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{n}_\Theta^- \oplus \mathfrak{p}_\Theta$ where dp_1 is the projection onto the first component. Any map in $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{L}_\sigma, \mathfrak{n}_\Theta^-)$ has the form $dp_1 \cdot Y(r)$, $Y \in \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{L}_\sigma, \mathfrak{g})$, that is, dE_1 is onto. Its kernel is given by $Y \in \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{L}_\sigma, \mathfrak{g})$ such that $dp_1 \cdot Y(r) = 0$, that is,

$$\ker dE_1 = \{Y \in \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{L}_\sigma, \mathfrak{g}) : \forall r \in \mathcal{L}_\sigma,\ Y(r) \in \mathfrak{p}_\Theta\}.$$

This subspace is complemented by $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{L}_\sigma, \mathfrak{n}_\Theta^-)$ which is a closed subspace.

Hence an application of the implicit function theorem to E at 1 yields the following parametrization of sections in a neighborhood of σ.

Proposition 6.3 *Given a section $\sigma \in \Gamma\mathbb{E}_\Theta$ there exists a neighborhood U of σ in $\Gamma\mathbb{E}_\Theta$ and a differentiable map $l : U \to \mathcal{G}$ such that $\tau = l(\tau) \cdot \sigma$ for all $\tau \in U$. Its differential dl_σ at σ associates $Y \in T_\sigma\mathcal{C}_{\rm eq}(\mathcal{L}_\sigma, \mathbb{F}_\Theta) = \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{L}_\sigma, \mathfrak{n}_\Theta^-)$ with itself via the identification $T_1\mathcal{C}_{\rm eq}(\mathcal{L}_\sigma, G) = \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{L}_\sigma, \mathfrak{g})$.*

Proof: By the implicit function theorem there exists a diffeomorphism $\psi : A \subset \mathcal{G} \to B \times U \subset \ker dE_1 \times \Gamma\mathbb{E}_\Theta$ such that $E \circ \psi^{-1}$ is the projection onto the second component. Hence, $l(\tau) = \psi^{-1}(0, \tau)$ is the desired map. □

Now it is easy to get the parametrization of the $\gamma\phi$-invariant sections $\sigma_\gamma \in \Gamma\mathbb{E}_{\Theta_{\rm Mo}}$, with γ running through an identity neighborhood of $\mathcal{G}$.

In fact, if $V \subset \mathcal{G}$ is open with $l(U) \subset V$ then $L : V \to \mathcal{G}$,

$$L(\gamma) = l(\sigma_\gamma) = l \circ s(\gamma),$$

is well defined, differentiable and satisfies $\sigma_\gamma = L(\gamma)\cdot\sigma_1$.

This parametrization can be carried out to the $\gamma\phi$-invariant measures $\nu_\gamma = \sigma_\gamma\cdot\nu$, namely $\nu_\gamma = L(\gamma)\cdot\nu_1$.

Summarizing we get the following ingredient for the proof of Theorem 6.1.

Proposition 6.4 *There exists an identity neighborhood V of $\mathcal{G}$ and a map $L: V\to\mathcal{G}$ such that $\sigma_\gamma = L(\gamma)\cdot\sigma_1$. If $\nu_\gamma = \sigma_\gamma\cdot\nu$ then $\nu_\gamma = L(\gamma)\cdot\nu_1$.*

Its differential $dL_1 = dl_\sigma\circ ds_1$ assumes values in $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{L}_\sigma, \mathfrak{n}_\Theta^-)$.

6.2 Proof of smoothness

To finish the proof of Theorem 6.1 it remains to check the differentiability of the map

$$\gamma\in\mathcal{G}\longmapsto\int \mathsf{a}_\omega^{\gamma\phi}(1,\xi)\,\nu_\gamma(d\xi)$$

(see Proposition 6.2). By Proposition 6.4 we have $\nu_\gamma = L(\gamma)\cdot\nu_1$ hence the above integral over ν_γ equals to

$$\int \mathsf{a}_\omega^{\gamma\phi}(1, L(\gamma)(\xi))\,\nu_1(d\xi),$$

where $L(\gamma)(\xi)$ stands for the action of $L(\gamma)\in\mathcal{G}$ on $\xi\in\mathbb{E}_{\Theta_{\mathrm{Mo}}(\phi)}$. Now, consider the map $\Upsilon^\omega:\mathcal{G}\to\mathcal{C}\left(\mathbb{E}_{\Theta_{\mathrm{Mo}}(\phi)},\mathbb{R}\right)$ defined by

$$\Upsilon^\omega(\gamma)(\cdot) = \mathsf{a}_\omega^{\gamma\phi}(1, L(\gamma)(\cdot)).$$

This map is differentiable. In fact by (7) we have

$$\mathsf{a}_\omega^{\gamma\phi L(\gamma)}(1,\xi) = \mathsf{a}_\omega^{\gamma\phi}(1, L(\gamma)(\xi)) + \mathsf{a}_\omega^{L(\gamma)}(1,\xi),$$

hence

$$\Upsilon^\omega(\gamma)(\xi) = \mathsf{a}_\omega^{\gamma\phi L(\gamma)}(1,\xi) - \mathsf{a}_\omega^{L(\gamma)}(1,\xi).$$

By Proposition 5.1 both terms in the second hand side are differentiable as functions of γ. The second term is $F_\omega^{\mathrm{id}}\circ L$, while the first term is the composition of F_ω^ϕ with the map

$$p:\gamma\mapsto\gamma C_\phi(L(\gamma)), \tag{11}$$

where $C_\phi(\eta) = \phi\eta\phi^{-1}$. This map is differentiable as well because $\mathcal{G}$ is a Banach Lie group and conjugation by ϕ is differentiable.

Finally,

$$\int \mathsf{a}_\omega^{\gamma\phi}(1,\xi)\,\nu_\gamma(d\xi) = \int \Upsilon^\omega(\gamma)(\xi)\,\nu_1(d\xi)$$

is the composition of a continuous linear map on $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{E}_{\Theta_{\mathrm{Mo}}},\mathbb{R})$ (the measure ν_1) with the differentiable map Υ^ω. This proves the smoothness statement of Theorem 6.1.

6.3 Differential

The differentiability of the partial Lyapunov spectrum

$$\Lambda_\omega(\gamma) = \int_X \omega\left(H^+_{\gamma\phi}(x)\right)\nu(dx)$$

was proved by showing that this map is a composition of several differentiable maps whose differentials we have recollected above. Now we can compose these differentials to get the differential of Λ_ω at the identity $1 \in \mathcal{G}$.

Proposition 6.5 *The differential of* $\Lambda_\omega(\gamma) = \int_X \omega\left(H^+_{\gamma\phi}(x)\right)\nu(dx)$ *at* $\gamma = 1$ *is*

$$d(\Lambda_\omega)_1(Y) = \int_{\mathbb{E}_\Theta} \omega \circ \mathbf{a}\left(f_Y\left(\phi^R(r)\right)\right)(\sigma\cdot\nu)(d\xi) \qquad \xi = r\cdot b_\Theta \tag{12}$$

where $Y \in T_1\mathcal{G}$ *is a section of the bundle* $Q\times_{\mathrm{Ad}}\mathfrak{g}$, $f_Y : R\to\mathfrak{g}$ *its equivariant map* Y *and* $\mathbf{a}:\mathfrak{g}\to\mathfrak{a}$ *is the projection onto the* $\mathfrak{a}$*-component in the Iwasawa decomposition* $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k}\oplus\mathfrak{a}\oplus\mathfrak{n}$.

Proof: As settled above $\Lambda_\omega(\gamma)$ is the integral of the map $\Upsilon^\omega : \mathcal{G}\to\mathcal{C}\left(\mathbb{E}_{\Theta_{\mathrm{Mo}}(\phi)},\mathbb{R}\right)$ given by

$$\Upsilon^\omega(\gamma)(\cdot) = \mathsf{a}_\omega^{\gamma\phi L(\gamma)}(1,\cdot) - \mathsf{a}_\omega^{L(\gamma)}(1,\cdot)$$

with $\Upsilon^\omega = F^\phi_\omega\circ p - F^{\mathrm{id}}_\omega\circ L$ where $F^\phi_\omega : \mathcal{G}\to\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{E}_\Theta,\mathfrak{a}_\Theta)$ were defined in (4) and $p:\mathcal{G}\to\mathcal{G}$ is $p(\gamma) = \gamma\cdot(C_\phi\circ L)(\gamma)$. Hence the differential of Λ_ω is the integral of the differential of $F^\phi_\omega\circ p - F^{\mathrm{id}}_\omega\circ L$.

Now by Proposition 5.1 we have

$$d\left(F^\phi_\omega\right)_1(Y)(r\cdot b_\Theta) = \omega\circ\mathbf{a}\left(f_Y\circ\phi^R(r)\right). \tag{13}$$

Since dL_1 assumes values in $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{L}_\sigma, \mathfrak{n}_\Theta^-)$, it follows that the differential at 1 of $F_\omega^{\mathrm{id}} \circ L$ is zero. As to the term $F_\omega^\phi \circ p$ we have first

$$dp_1(Y) = Y + d(C_\phi \circ L)_1(Y).$$

The $\mathfrak{a}$-component in the Iwasawa decomposition of the second term $d(C_\phi \circ L)_1(Y)$ annihilates, since dL_1 assumes values in $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{L}_\sigma, \mathfrak{n}_\Theta^-)$ and $d(C_\phi)_1$ is just composition of the equivariant map with ϕ^{-1}. Hence, for any $Y \in \mathrm{Lie}(\mathcal{G})$ the equivariant map of $d(C_\phi \circ L)_1(Y)$ takes values in $\mathfrak{n}_\Theta^-$ so that its $\mathfrak{a}$-component is zero.

We are left with $d\left(F_\omega^\phi\right)_1(Y)$ which is $\omega \circ \mathbf{a}\left(f_Y \circ \phi^R\right)$ by (13). □

To use below in examples we rewrite formula (12) in the case of a trivial bundle $X \times Q$ where the integral can be taken with respect to ν on the base X. Here $\phi^n(x,g) = (\tau^n(x), \rho(n,x)\, g)$ is defined by a cocycle $\rho(n,x)$ generated by the map $\rho(1,x) \in G$. We take $R = X \times K$, so that $\phi^R(x,k) = (\tau(x), u(x,k))$ where $\rho(1,x)\, k = u(x,k)\, hn$ is the Iwasawa decomposition.

The element $Y \in \mathrm{Lie}(\mathcal{G})$ is a map $Y : X \to \mathfrak{g}$ that equals the restriction of f_Y to $X \times \{1\}$ so that $f_Y(x,k) = \mathrm{Ad}(k^{-1})\, Y(x)$.

Let $\sigma : X \to \mathbb{F}_\Theta$ be an invariant section and choose $k_x \in K$ such that $\sigma(x) = k_x(b_\Theta)$. Then

$$f_Y\left(\phi^R(x,k_x)\right) = f_Y(\tau(x), u(x,k_x)) = \mathrm{Ad}\left(u(x,k_x)^{-1}\right) Y(\tau(x)).$$

It follows by (12) that

$$d(\Lambda_{n\omega})_1(Y) = \int_X \omega \circ \mathbf{a}\left(\mathrm{Ad}\left(u(x,k_x)^{-1}\right) Y(\tau(x))\right) \nu(dx). \tag{14}$$

The choice of k_x is immaterial.

7 A general differentiable perturbation

So far we proved in Theorem 6.1 differentiability of the Lyapunov spectra with respect to perturbations by the gauge group $\mathcal{G}$. In this section we abstract the properties of $\mathcal{G}$ required in the proof of differentiability and state a more general set up where the proof of Theorem 6.1 still applies.

Thus we let $\mathcal{H} \subset \mathrm{Aut}(Q)$ be a Banach-Lie group of automorphisms of Q and take $\phi \in \mathrm{Aut}(Q)$. Then the proof of Theorem 6.1 holds for $\mathcal{H}$ in place of $\mathcal{G}$ if the following conditions are satisfied.

H1 The maps induced on the base space X by ϕ and any $\gamma \in \mathcal{H}$ preserves a probability measure ν.

H2 The maps $\mathcal{H}\phi \times \Gamma\mathbb{E}_{\Theta_{\mathrm{Mo}}(\phi)} \to \Gamma\mathbb{E}_{\Theta_{\mathrm{Mo}}(\phi)}$ and $\mathcal{H}\phi \times \Gamma\mathbb{E}_{\Theta^*_{\mathrm{Mo}}(\phi)} \to \Gamma\mathbb{E}_{\Theta^*_{\mathrm{Mo}}(\phi)}$ given by the actions of $\mathcal{H}\phi$ on the spaces of sections $\Gamma\mathbb{E}_{\Theta_{\mathrm{Mo}}(\phi)}$ and $\Gamma\mathbb{E}_{\Theta^*_{\mathrm{Mo}}(\phi)}$ are smooth.

H3 $\mathcal{H}$ is normalized by ϕ and the conjugation $C_\phi : \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H}$, $C_\phi(\eta) = \phi\eta\phi^{-1}$ is smooth.

H4 If ω belongs to the linear span of $\Omega \setminus \Omega_{\Theta_{\mathrm{Mo}}(\phi)}$ then the map

$$F^\phi_\omega : \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{E}_\Theta, \mathbb{R}) \qquad F^\phi_\omega(\gamma) = \mathsf{a}^{\gamma\phi}_\omega(1, \cdot)$$

is smooth.

H5 Let $\sigma_{\mathrm{Mo}} \in \Gamma\mathbb{E}_{\Theta_{\mathrm{Mo}}(\phi)}$ be the ϕ-invariant section whose image is the attractor Morse component of ϕ on $\mathbb{E}_{\Theta_{\mathrm{Mo}}(\phi)}$. Then $\mathcal{H}$ is locally transitive at σ_{Mo} in the following sense: Define the map $E : \mathcal{H} \to \Gamma\mathbb{E}_{\Theta_{\mathrm{Mo}}(\phi)}$ by $E(\gamma) = \gamma(\sigma_{\mathrm{Mo}})$ which smooth by (H2). Then it is required the differential dE_1 is onto and $\ker dE_1$ is complementable in $T_1\mathcal{H}$.

Clearly (H3) is automatic if $\mathcal{H}$ contains ϕ.

To see that Theorem 6.1 can be proved under these conditions, note first that by (H2) we can apply the Implicit Function Theorem as in Proposition 4.4 to get $\gamma\phi$-invariant sections with γ close to the identity of $\mathcal{H}$. The proof that the invariant sections on $\Gamma\mathbb{E}_{\Theta_{\mathrm{Mo}}(\phi)}$ give the attractor Morse components (Theorem 4.7) rely only on properties of the Morse decompositions.

Now smoothness of the cocycles, as in Section 5, is axiomatized in (H4) while condition (H5) allows a new application of the Implicit Function Theorem as in Proposition 6.3 to get a smooth parametrization of the invariant measures on $\mathbb{E}_{\Theta_{\mathrm{Mo}}(\phi)}$.

Finally the assumption that $\mathcal{H}$ is a Banach-Lie group and (H3) are required at the end of the proof as in Subsection 6.2 to ensure that the map $p : \gamma \mapsto \gamma C_\phi(L(\gamma))$ defined in (11) is smooth.

8 Cocycles and semigroups

In this section we let $\rho : \mathbb{Z} \times X \to G$ be a cocycle over the homeomorphism $\tau : X \to X$ leaving invariant the measure ν. It defines the skew-product flow

$\phi^n(x,g) = (\tau(x), \rho(n,x)\, g)$ on $X \times G$. In case ρ takes values in a semigroup $S \subset G$ then the flag type $\Theta_{\mathrm{Mo}}(\phi)$ of ϕ can be obtained in case we know in advance the flag type of S. This is the set up of several examples in the literature (see Barreira-Pesin [3]). Also in Ruelle [16] the flow is given this way with $G = \mathrm{Gl}(d, \mathbb{R})$ and $S \subset G$ the semigroup of linear maps leaving invariant a cone $W \subset \mathbb{R}^d$.

We refer to [17], [18], [19], [21] and references therein to results about semigroups in semi-simple (and reductive) Lie groups and their actions on flag manifolds. In [4] and [13] these semigroups were related to flows on principal bundles via the shadowing semigroups. The main result of these papers is that the Morse components on the flag bundles are given by shriking the control sets of the shadowing semigroups.

What concern us here is the flag type of $S \subset G$ (when $\mathrm{int}S \neq \emptyset$) which is the largest flag manifold $\mathbb{F}_{\Theta(S)}$ of G such that the unique invariant control set of S in $\mathbb{F}_{\Theta(S)}$ is contractible (in the sense that is contained in an open Bruhat cell).

If the cocycle ρ is contained in $\mathrm{int}S$ then we prove below that the flag type $\Theta_{\mathrm{Mo}}(\phi)$ contains the flag type $\Theta(S)$ of S, so that the flag manifolds themselves $\mathbb{F}_{\Theta_{\mathrm{Mo}}(\phi)}$ and $\mathbb{F}_{\Theta(S)}$ are related by an equivariant fibration $\mathbb{F}_{\Theta_{\mathrm{Mo}}(\phi)} \to \mathbb{F}_{\Theta(S)}$, that is, $\mathbb{F}_{\Theta_{\mathrm{Mo}}(\phi)}$ is larger than $\mathbb{F}_{\Theta(S)}$.

For several semigroups S the flag type $\Theta(S)$ is known so that from the inclusion $\Theta_{\mathrm{Mo}}(\phi) \subset \Theta(S)$ we get smoothness of the part of the $\mathfrak{a}$-Lyapunov spectra given by $\omega \in \mathrm{span}\left(\Omega \setminus \Omega_{\Theta(S)}\right)$. The inclusion $\Theta_{\mathrm{Mo}}(\phi) \subset \Theta(S)$ also implies the positivity of some Lyapunov exponents by the results of [22].

From now on let $\rho : \mathbb{Z} \times X \to G$ be a continuous cocycle such that $\rho(1,x) \in \mathrm{int}S$ (and hence $\rho(n,x) \in \mathrm{int}S$) for all $x \in X$ where S is a subsemigroup of G. If $\mathbb{F}_{\Theta(S)}$ is the flag type of S then we write $C_{\Theta(S)}$ (or simply C) for the unique invariant control set of S on $\mathbb{F}_{\Theta(S)}$ (see [17]). It is compact and has nonempty interior.

To prove the $\Theta_{\mathrm{Mo}}(\phi) \subset \Theta(S)$ we need the following lemma where we use the metric space notation $d(K_1, K_2) = \inf\{d(x_1, x_2) : x_i \in K_i\}$ and $d(x, K_1) = \inf\{d(x, y) : y \in K_1\}$ if K_1 and K_2 are compact and x is a point.

Lemma 8.1 *There exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $d(g_x C, \mathrm{cl}C^c) > \varepsilon$ for all $x \in X$ where $g_x = \rho(1,x)$ and $C = C_{\Theta(S)}$.*

Proof: Since $g_x \in \mathrm{int}S$, we have $g_x C \subset \mathrm{int}C$ and hence there exists $\varepsilon_x > 0$ such that $d(g_x C, \mathrm{cl}C^c) > \varepsilon_x$. By continuity with respect to the compact-open topology there exists an open set $V_x \subset G$ with $g_x \in V_x$ such that

$d(gC, \mathrm{cl}C^c) > \varepsilon_x$ if $g \in V_x$. Hence by compactness of X we get $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $d(g_x C, \mathrm{cl}C^c) > \varepsilon$ for all $x \in X$. □

If $\varepsilon > 0$ is as in this lemma then any $(\varepsilon/2, T)$-chain $(x_0, \xi_0), \ldots, (x_n, \xi_n)$ starting at $(x_0, \xi_0) \in X \times C$ is contained in $X \times C$. In fact, (x_1, ξ_1) is $\varepsilon/2$ close to $\phi^t(x_0, \xi_0)$. But $\phi^{t-1}(x_0, \xi_0) \in X \times C$ so that $\phi^t(x_0, \xi_0)$ belongs to $X \times \left(g_{\tau^{t-1}(x_0)} C\right)$. Hence ξ_1 is $\varepsilon/2$ close to $g_{\tau^{t-1}(x_0)} C$, implying that $\xi_1 \in C$. By induction it follows that the whole chain is contained in $X \times C$.

As a consequence we have that the ω-limit $\omega(x, \xi)$ is contained in $X \times C$ if $(x, \xi) \in X \times C$.

Now, let $\mathcal{M}^+_{\Theta(S)}$ be the attractor Morse component on $X \times \mathbb{F}_{\Theta(S)}$. Then for a dense subset $D \subset X \times \mathbb{F}_{\Theta(S)}$ we have $\omega(x, \xi) \subset \mathcal{M}^+_{\Theta(S)}$ if $(x, \xi) \in D$. Since $X \times C$ has nonempty interior we have $(X \times C) \cap D \neq \emptyset$ so that $\omega(x, \xi) \subset (X \times C) \cap \mathcal{M}^+_{\Theta(S)}$ if $(x, \xi) \in (X \times C) \cap D$. Thus we conclude that $(X \times C) \cap \mathcal{M}^+_{\Theta(S)} \neq \emptyset$. Finally we get $\mathcal{M}^+_{\Theta(S)} \subset X \times C$ since $\mathcal{M}^+_{\Theta(S)}$ is chain transitive and $X \times C$ is chain invariant.

Proposition 8.2 *If $\rho(1, x) \in \mathrm{int}S$, $x \in X$, then the attractor Morse component $\mathcal{M}^+_{\Theta(S)}$ is contained in $X \times C$ and $\Theta_{\mathrm{Mo}}(\phi) \subset \Theta(S)$.*

Proof: The first statement was proved above. The second statement is a consequence of the first one since the inclusion $\mathcal{M}^+_{\Theta(S)} \subset X \times C$ implies that for any $x \in X$ the fiber $\mathcal{M}^+_{\Theta(S)}(x) = \mathcal{M}^+_{\Theta(S)} \cap \left(\{x\} \times \mathbb{F}_{\Theta(S)}\right)$ is contained in an open Bruhat cell. This forces $\mathcal{M}^+_{\Theta(S)}(x)$ to be a point since this is the case where the algebraic manifold $\mathcal{M}^+_{\Theta(S)}(x)$ is contained in an open Bruhat cell. □

As mentioned above the inclusion $\Theta_{\mathrm{Mo}}(\phi) \subset \Theta(S)$ implies differentiability and positivity of certain linear maps of the $\mathfrak{a}$-Lyapunov exponents.

Corollary 8.3 *Suppose that $\rho(1, x) \in \mathrm{int}S$ and let $\Theta(S)$ be the flag type of S. Then*

1. *$\Lambda_\omega(\gamma) = \int_X \omega\left(H^+_{\gamma\phi}(x)\right) \nu(dx)$ is smooth if $\omega \in \mathrm{span}\left(\Omega \setminus \Omega_{\Theta(S)}\right)$.*
2. *If $\alpha \notin \Theta(S)$ then $\alpha\left(H^+_\phi(x)\right) > 0$ for $x \in X$ where $H^+_\phi(x)$ is defined.*

Proof: The first statement is a consequence of our Theorem 6.1, while the second one is due to the description of the Morse spectra made in [22] as a convex set contained in

$$\bigcap_{\alpha \notin \Theta(S)} \{H \in \mathfrak{a} : \alpha(H) > 0\}.$$

□

In the sequel we give some examples of semigroups together with their flag types and interpret the above corollary on the light of these flags.

8.1 Invariant cones

Let $W \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be a pointed (i.e., $W \cap -W = \{0\}$) and generating (i.e., int$W \neq \emptyset$) cone. The semigroup

$$S_W = \{g \in \mathrm{Sl}(d, \mathbb{R}) : gW \subset W \cup -W\}$$

has nonempty interior and its flag type is the projective space $\mathbb{P}^{d-1}$ (see [19], Example 5.3). For the group $\mathrm{Sl}(d, \mathbb{R})$ and its Lie algebra $\mathfrak{sl}(d, \mathbb{R})$ we have $\mathfrak{a} \subset \mathfrak{sl}(d, \mathbb{R})$ is the abelian algebra of diagonal matrices. The roots are $\alpha_{ij} = \lambda_i - \lambda_j$, $i \neq j$, where

$$\lambda_i(\mathrm{diag}\{a_1, \ldots, a_d\}) = a_i.$$

A natural choice of positive roots is $\Pi^+ = \{\alpha_{ij} : i < j\}$ that have the set of simple roots

$$\Sigma = \{\alpha_{12}, \alpha_{23}, \ldots, \alpha_{d-1,d}\}.$$

The associated fundamental weights are

$$\Omega = \{\lambda_1, \lambda_1 + \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_1 + \cdots + \lambda_{d-1}\}$$

The subset $\Theta = \Theta(S_W) \subset \Sigma$ corresponding to $\mathbb{P}^{d-1}$ is

$$\Theta(S_W) = \{\alpha_{23}, \ldots, \alpha_{d-1,d}\}$$

so that $\Omega \setminus \Omega_\Theta = \{\lambda_1\}$.

Hence if ρ is a cocycle with values in intS_W then by (2) of Corollary 8.3, we have $\alpha_{12}(H^+(x)) > 0$ if $H^+(x)$ is defined implying that the largest

Lyapunov exponent $\lambda_1(H^+(x))$ has multiplicity one. By Theorem 6.1, ρ is a differentiable point of the largest exponent

$$\int_X \lambda_1\left(H^+(x)\right)\nu(dx).$$

Thus we recover the result of Ruelle [16] on invariant cones.

To write the differential of the largest Lyapunov exponent at ρ, let $\sigma : X \to \mathbb{P}^{d-1}$ be the invariant section giving the attractor Morse component. Its image is contained in int$W$ so there is $\overline{\sigma} : X \to S^{d-1}$ such that $\sigma(x) = \text{span}\overline{\sigma}(x)$. We have

$$\phi^R(x, \overline{\sigma}(x)) = \frac{\rho(1,x)\overline{\sigma}(x)}{\|\rho(1,x)\overline{\sigma}(x)\|}.$$

In the notation of formula (14) we have $K = \text{SO}(d)$ and $u(x,k_x)e_1 = \frac{\rho(1,x)\overline{\sigma}(x)}{\|\rho(1,x)\overline{\sigma}(x)\|}$ where e_1 is the first basis vector so $[e_1]$ is the origin of $\mathbb{P}^{d-1}$. Hence if $Y : X \to \mathfrak{g}$ is an element of Lie$(\mathcal{G})$ then

$$\lambda_1 \circ \mathbf{a}\left(\text{Ad}\left(u(x,k_x)^{-1}\right)Y(x)\right) = \frac{\langle Y(x)\rho(1,x)\overline{\sigma}(x), \rho(1,x)\overline{\sigma}(x)\rangle}{\|\rho(1,x)\overline{\sigma}(x)\|^2}.$$

Therefore,

$$d(\Lambda_\omega)_1(Y) = \int_X \frac{\langle Y(x)\rho(1,x)\overline{\sigma}(x), \rho(1,x)\overline{\sigma}(x)\rangle}{\|\rho(1,x)\overline{\sigma}(x)\|^2}\nu(dx).$$

These facts hold for $W = \mathbb{R}^d_+$ when S_W is the semigroup of matrices with nonnegative entries while $g \in \text{int}S_W$ has strictly positive entries.

8.2 Totally positive matrices

Let $\mathcal{T} \subset \text{Sl}(d,\mathbb{R})$ be the semigroup of totally positive matrices, that is, $g \in \mathcal{T}$ if and only if all its minors as ≥ 0. The elements in int$\mathcal{T}$ have strictly positive minors. The flag type of $\mathcal{T}$ is $\Theta(\mathcal{T}) = \emptyset$, which means that $\mathbb{F}_{\Theta(\mathcal{T})}$ is the manifold of complete flags of subspaces of $\mathbb{R}^d$ (see [21], Section 6.3.1). Hence if ρ is a cocycle with values in int$\mathcal{T}$ then its Lyapunov spectra $H^+_\rho(x) = \text{diag}\{\chi_1(x), \ldots, \chi_d(x)\}$ is simple $\chi_1(x) > \cdots > \chi_d(x)$ and ρ is a differentiable point of $\int_X H^+_\rho(x)\nu(dx)$.

The same holds for cocycles with values in the interior of the semigroup $\mathcal{S}$ of sign regular matrices ($g \in \mathcal{S}$ if all its minors have the same sign), since this semigroup also has flag type $\Theta(\mathcal{S}) = \emptyset$.

As a variation of this example take a subset of integers $\mathbf{k} = \{k_1, \ldots, k_s\}$ with $1 \leq k_1 < \cdots < k_s < d$, and define the semigroup $\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{k}}$ of matrices whose minors of order $k_1, \ldots, k_s$ are nonnegative. Its flag type is the manifold of flags of subspaces $(V_1 \subset \cdots \subset V_s)$ with $\dim V_i = k_i$ (see [21], Section 6.3.1). The subset of simple roots $\Theta(\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{k}})$ associated to this flag manifold is

$$\Theta(\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{k}}) = \Sigma \setminus \{\alpha_{i,i+1} : i \in \mathbf{k}\}$$

where $\Sigma = \{\alpha_{12}, \alpha_{23}, \ldots, \alpha_{d-1,d}\}$ were defined above. The fundamental weights corresponding to the complement $\{\alpha_{i,i+1} : i \in \mathbf{k}\}$ of $\Theta(\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{k}})$ are $\omega_{k_i} = \lambda_1 + \cdots + \lambda_{k_i}$ with $k_i \in \mathbf{k}$. These are the weights in the statement of Theorem 6.1. Hence if ρ is a cocycle with values in $\mathrm{int}\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{k}}$ then ρ is a differentiable point of

$$\int_X (\chi_1(x) + \cdots + \chi_{k_i}(x))\, \nu(dx) \qquad k_i \in \mathbf{k}.$$

8.3 Compression semigroups and $\mathcal{B}$-convex sets

A way of producing subsemigroups of G is by taking a subset C of a flag manifold $\mathbb{F}_\Theta$ and define the compression semigroup

$$S_C = \{g \in G : gC \subset C\}.$$

Conditions on C to have $\mathrm{int}S_C \neq \emptyset$ were obtained in [21]. Following [21] we say that a subset $C \subset \mathbb{F}_\Theta$ is admissible if C is contained in an open Bruhat cell of $\mathbb{F}_\Theta$. Then we have the following fact proved in [21], Proposition 4.2.

Proposition 8.4 *If $C \subset \mathbb{F}_\Theta$ is admissible and $C = \mathrm{cl}(\mathrm{int}C)$ then $\mathrm{int}S_C = \{g \in G : gC \subset \mathrm{int}C\} \neq \emptyset$, C is the invariant control set of S_C and $\mathbb{F}_\Theta$ is the flag type of S_C.*

Combining this fact with Proposition 8.2 above we have the following upper bound for the flag type of a cocycle.

Proposition 8.5 *Let $C \subset \mathbb{F}_\Theta$ be an admissible subset with $C = \mathrm{cl}(\mathrm{int}C)$ and suppose that the cocycle ρ in G satisfies $\rho(1,x)\, C \subset \mathrm{int}C$ for all $x \in X$ then $\Theta(\phi) \subset \Theta$, and the conclusions of Corollary 8.3 hold with Θ in place of $\Theta(S)$.*

As an example of a flag manifold we mention the real projective space $\mathbb{R}P^{d-1}$ as a flag manifold of $\mathrm{Sl}(d,\mathbb{R})$. A Bruhat cell is the complement of a hyperplane $[V]=\{[v]\in\mathbb{R}P^{d-1}:v\in V\}$ where $V\subset\mathbb{R}^d$ has $\dim V=d-1$. If $W\subset\mathbb{R}^d$ is a pointed and generating convex cone then $C=[W]=\{[v]\in\mathbb{R}P^{d-1}:v\in W\}$ is admissible and satisfies $C=\mathrm{cl}(\mathrm{int}C)$. In this case $S_W=S_C$ hence the semigroups leaving invariant cones treated above are special cases of this set up.

When $d=2$ a hyperplane in $\mathbb{R}P^1$ reduces to a point so that a subset $C=\mathrm{cl}(\mathrm{int}C)$ is admissible if and only if it is proper. Hence the above statements yield the following fact.

Proposition 8.6 *Let ρ be a cocycle in $\mathrm{Sl}(2,\mathbb{R})$ such that $\rho(1,x)C\subset\mathrm{int}C$ (all $x\in X$) for a subset C of $\mathbb{R}P^1$ with $C=$. Then,*

1. *there exists $c>0$ such that for almost all $x\in X$ the Lyapunov exponents are $\xi_\rho(x)>c>-c>-\xi_\rho(x)$ and the Oseledets decomposition extends continuously to X.*
2. *ρ is a differentiable point of $\rho\mapsto\int_X\xi_\rho(x)\,\nu(dx)$.*

This fact extends to any real rank 1 Lie group G, which means that $\dim\mathfrak{a}=1$. For such a group there is a unique flag manifold $\mathbb{F}$ which is diffeomorphic to a sphere. An open Bruhat cell in $\mathbb{F}$ is the complement of a point hence any proper subset $C=\mathrm{cl}(\mathrm{int}C)\subset\mathbb{F}$ fullfills the conditions of Propositions 8.4 and 8.5.

8.4 Symplectic group

Let $G=\mathrm{Sp}(n,\mathbb{R})=\{g\in\mathrm{Sl}(2n,\mathbb{R}):g^TJg=J\}$ be the symplectic group where $J=\begin{pmatrix}0&I_n\\-I_n&0\end{pmatrix}$. Its Lie algebra $\mathfrak{sp}(n,\mathbb{R})$ is given by matrices

$$\begin{pmatrix}A&B\\C&-A^T\end{pmatrix}\qquad B-B^T=C-C^T=0$$

where a choice of $\mathfrak{a}$ is the subalgebra of matrices

$$\begin{pmatrix}D&0\\0&-D\end{pmatrix}$$

with D diagonal. If λ_i stands for the map which assocites to D its i-th diagonal entry then the roots are $\lambda_i - \lambda_j$, $i \neq j$, and $\lambda_i + \lambda_j$. A standar choice of simple roots is

$$\Sigma = \{\lambda_1 - \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_{n-1} - \lambda_n, 2\lambda_n\},$$

whose bassic weights are $\omega_i = \lambda_1 + \cdots + \lambda_i$, $i = 1, \ldots, n$.

The flag manifolds of $\mathrm{Sp}(n, \mathbb{R})$ are formed by flags of isotropic subespaces. We focus on the Grassmannian Lagrangean $\mathrm{LGr}_n(2n)$, which is the set of Lagrangean subspaces of $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$. The subset $\Theta_{\mathrm{Lag}} \subset \Sigma$ corresponding to $\mathrm{LGr}_n(2n)$ is $\Theta_{\mathrm{Lag}} = \{\lambda_1 - \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_{n-1} - \lambda_n\}$.

Let Q be the quadratic form on $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ with matrix $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & I_n \\ I_n & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and define the semigroup

$$S_Q = \{g \in \mathrm{Sp}(n, \mathbb{R}) : Q(g(v)) \geq Q(v)\}.$$

Cocycles with values in this semigroup were considred before (see Wojtkoweki [25] and Barreira-Pesin [3]) and estimates for the Lyapunov exponents were obtained.

To apply Corollary 8.3 we note that the flag type of S_Q is $\mathrm{LGr}_n(2n)$ as follows by general results on compression semigroups of Hilgert-Neeb [9] and [21]. Hence if a cocycle ρ takes values in

$$\mathrm{int} S_Q = \{g \in \mathrm{Sp}(n, \mathbb{R}) : Q(g(v)) > Q(v)\}$$

then the $\mathfrak{a}$-Lyapunov spectrum of the ensuing flow ϕ has the form

$$\Lambda_{\mathrm{Ly}}(\phi) = \begin{pmatrix} D(\phi) & 0 \\ 0 & -D(\phi) \end{pmatrix}$$

with $D(\phi) = \mathrm{diag}\{\chi_1(\phi), \ldots, \chi_n(\phi)\}$, $\chi_1(T) \geq \cdots \geq \chi_n(T)$ such that $2\chi_n(\phi) > 0$, by Corollary 8.3 (2). The first statement of the same corollary ensures that $\chi_1(\phi) + \cdots + \chi_n(\phi)$ is differentiable as a function of the cocycle.

References

[1] Alves, L.A. and L. A. B. San Martin: *Multiplicative ergodic theorem on flag bundles of semi-simple Lie groups*. Discrete and Contin. Dynam. Systems A, **33** (2013), 1247-1273.

[2] Alves, L.A. and L.A.B. San Martin: *Conditions for Equality between Lyapunov and Morse Decompositions*. To appear.

[3] Barreira, L. and Y. Pesin: Nonuniform hyperbolicity, dynamics of systems with non zero Lyapunov exponents. Cambridge University Press (2007).

[4] Braga Barros, C.J. and L.A.B. San Martin: *Chain transitive sets for flows on flag bundles*. Forum Math., vol. 19, 19-60 (2007).

[5] Colonius, F. and W. Kliemann: Morse decompositions and spectra on flag bundles. J. Dynam. Differential Equations 14 (2002), 719–741.

[6] Duistermat, J.J. J.A.C. Kolk and V.S. Varadarajan, *Functions, flows and oscilatory integral on flag manifolds*. Compos. Math. 49, 309-398 (1983).

[7] Ferraiol: Differentiable structures

[8] Ferraiol, T., M. Patrão and L. Seco, *Jordan decomposition and dynamics on flag manifolds*. Discrete and Continuous Dynamical Systems, v. 26, p. 923-947, 2010.

[9] Hilgert, J. and K.-H. Neeb: Maximality of compression semigroups. Semigroup Forum 50 (1995), 205-222.

[10] Knapp, A.W.: Lie Groups Beyond an Introduction (Second Edition). Progress in Mathematics **140**, Birkhäuser (2004).

[11] Palais, R.S. : Foundations of Global Non-linear Analysis. W.A. Benjamin, Inc. (1968).

[12] Patrão, M.: *Morse decomposition of semiflows on topological spaces*. J. Dynam. Differential Equations, **19** (2007), 181-198.

[13] Patrão, M. and L.A.B. San Martin: *Chain recurrence of flows and semiflows on fiber bundles*. Discrete Contin. Dynam. Systems A, **17** (2007), 113-139.

[14] M. Patrão, L. A. B. San Martin and L. Seco: *Conley indexes and stable sets for flows on flag bundles*. Dyn. Syst., **24** (2009), 249-276.

[15] Ruelle, D.: *Ergodic theory of differentiable dynamical systems.* Publ. Math. IHES 50, 27-58 (1979).

[16] Ruelle, D.: *Analycity Properties of the Characteristic Exponents of Random Matrix Products*. Adv. Math. **32** (1979), 68-80.

[17] San Martin, L.A.B.: *Invariant Control Sets on Flag Manifolds*. Math. of Control, Signal and Systems, vol. 6 (1993), 41-61.

[18] San Martin, L.A.B.: *On global controllability of discrete-time control systems.* Math. Control Signals Systems, **8** (1995), 279-297.

[19] San Martin, L.A.B and P.A. Tonelli: *Semigroup actions on homogeneous spaces.* Semigroups Forum, **50** (1995), 59-88.

[20] San Martin, L.A.B.: *Order and Domains of Attraction of Control Sets in Flag Manifolds*. Journal of Lie Theory, **8** (1998), 335-350.

[21] San Martin, L.A.B .: *Maximal semigroups in semi-simple Lie groups*. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **353** (2001), 5165-5184.

[22] San Martin, L.A.B. and L. Seco: *Morse and Lyapunov Spectra and Dynamics on Flag Bundles*. Ergod. Theory and Dynam. Sys. **30** (2010), 893-922.

[23] Selgrade, J.: *Isolated invariant sets for flows on vector bundles*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 203 , 259-390 (1975).

[24] Wockel, C.: Lie Group Structures on Symmetry Groups of Principal Bundles. J. Funct. Anal. 251 (2007), 254–288.

[25] Wojtkoweki, M.: *Invariant Families of Cones and Lyapunov Exponents*. Ergod. Theory and Dynam. Sys. **5** (1985), 145-161.